arXiv:1608.07945v1 [math.GT] 29 Aug 2016

TWO-DIMENSIONAL LIMIT SETS OF TEICHMÜLLER GEODESICS

ANNA LENZHEN, BABAK MODAMI, AND KASRA RAFI

Abstract. We construct an example of a Teichmüller geodesic ray whose limit set in Thurston boundary of Teichmüller space is a two-dimensional simplex.

1. Introduction

Thurston introduced a compactification of Teichmüller space of a surface S using a boundary space $\mathcal{PMF}(S)$ consisting of projective classes of measured foliations [FLP79]. The boundary is homeomorphic to a sphere and the action of the mapping class group of the surface extends continuously to this boundary. In spite of the fact that Teichmüller metric is not negatively curved in any of the standard senses, using this compactification Thurston gave a classification of elements of mapping class groups in analogy with negatively curved spaces [FLP79].

In a hyperbolic space, every geodesic has a unique limit point. As a Teichmüller counterpart, Masur [Mas82] showed that the limit set of a Teichmüller geodesic ray with a uniquely ergodic vertical foliation is a single point. However, work of Kerckhoff [Ker80] shows that Thurston boundary is not the visual boundary of the Teichmüller metric.

In [Len08], Lenzhen gave the first example where the limit set of a Teichmüller geodesic ray is more than one point. The example is for a surface of genus two, and the limit set of the ray is an interval in one-dimensional simplex of measures for a non-minimal foliation in $\mathcal{PMF}(S)$. Since then, several other examples have been constructed. In [LLR13], it is shown that the same phenomenon can take place for a minimal foliation, with limit set being the entire one-dimensional simplex. In [CMW14] an example of minimal foliation is constructed where the limit set is a proper subset of a one-dimensional simplex of measures and in [BLMR16] an example is constructed where the limit set is not simply connected and is homeomorphic to a circle. However, so far in all the examples the limit set has been at most one-dimensional. Masur has asked if the limit set can ever be of a higher dimension. In this paper, we give a positive answer to the question of Masur.

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a Teichmüller geodesic ray where the limit set in $\mathcal{PMF}(S)$ is two-dimensional.*

To simplify the exposition and the relevant computations, we construct a concrete example in the Teichmüller space of a surface of genus 3. However, the construction and the proof is written in a way to make it clear that such examples exist for any surface of genus larger than 3 and, in fact, the limit set can have as large of a dimension as desired.

Date: August 30, 2016.



FIGURE 1. The surface X_0 glued out of three tori.

The example is constructed as follows. Let T^i, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a square torus rotated so that the vertical direction has a slope $\theta_i \in (0, 1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ in T^i. Cut a vertical slit of size $s_0 > 0$ in T_i and glue the left side of the slit of T^i to the right side of T^{i+1}. We obtain a translation surface, that is, a Riemann surface X_0 of genus 3 (see Figure 1), with a holomorphic quadratic differential (X_0, ϕ_0) with two zeros of order four where the restriction of the vertical foliation to T^i has slope θ^i. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the Teichmüller geodesic ray based at X_0, and in the direction of ϕ_0. For each $i = 0, 1, 2$, let ν^i be the ergodic measured foliation in $\mathcal{PMF}(S)$ supported on T^i, and defined by θ^i. The Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the following statement.

Theorem 1.2. *There exist irrational numbers θ^0, θ^1 and θ^2 such that the limit set of the corresponding ray $\mathcal{R}$ is the simplex of measures spanned by ν^0, ν^1 and ν^2.*

2. Background

Notation. We will adopt the following notation. For a pair of sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$, we write $x_n \sim y_n$ if

$$\frac{x_n}{y_n} \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Let

$$P\mathbb{R}^3_+ = \Big\{ [r, s, u] \;\Big|\; r, s, u > 0, \quad (r, s, u) \equiv (\lambda r, \lambda s, \lambda u) \quad \forall \lambda > 0 \Big\}.$$

Similarly, for a pair of sequences $\{[a^0_n, a^1_n, a^2_n]\}$ and $\{[b^0_n, b^1_n, b^2_n]\}$ in $P\mathbb{R}^3$ we write

$$[a^0_n, a^1_n, a^2_n] \sim [b^0_n, b^1_n, b^2_n] \qquad \text{if, for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_3, \qquad \frac{a^i_n}{a^{i+1}_n} \sim \frac{b^i_n}{b^{i+1}_n}.$$

Also, for quantities $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ we write $\mathbf{A} \overset{+}{\asymp} \mathbf{B}$ if there is a uniform constant K so that $|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}| \leq K$ and we write $\mathbf{A} \overset{*}{\asymp} \mathbf{B}$ if

$$\frac{1}{K} \leq \frac{\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{B}} \leq K.$$

2.1. **Continued fractions.** Let $\theta = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots]$ be any positive number, and denote the $n-$th convergent of θ by $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$. That is

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} = a_0 + \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{\cdots + \cfrac{1}{a_n}}}.$$

We will need he following standard facts about continued fractions (see, for example, [Khi64]):

$$q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\frac{1}{q_n + q_{n+1}} \leq |p_n - q_n\theta| \leq \frac{1}{q_{n+1}}. \tag{2.2}$$

2.2. **Teichmüller theory.** In this section we recall some background material mainly about Teichmüller space and Teichmüller geodesics and throughout set our notations. We assume that the reader is familiar with basic facts about Teichmüller space and the space of measured foliations. We recommend the references [GL00] and [FLP79] for a thorough treatment of this material.

The *Teichmüller space* of a closed orientable surface S, denoted by $\mathcal{T}(S)$, is the space of equivalence classes of all marked Riemann surfaces homeomorphic to S i.e. homeomorphisms $f : S \to X$, where X is a Riemann surface; two marked surfaces $f_1 : S \to X_1$ and $f_2 : S \to X_2$ are equivalent if $f_2 \circ f_1^{-1} : X_1 \to X_2$ is isotopic to a biholomorphic map.

A *measured foliation* ν on S is a foliation with pronged singularities and a transverse measure. The space of measured foliations of S is equipped with the weak* topology. The projective class of a measured foliation ν is the class of all measures which are positive multiples of ν. We denote the space of projective measured foliations by $\mathcal{PMF}(S)$ which is equipped with the natural topology induced from the weak* topology of the space of measured foliations.

A quadratic differential (X, ϕ) on a Riemann surface X is a $(2,0)-$tensor with holomorphic coefficients; in a local coordinates z it has the form $\phi(z)dz^2$ with $\phi(z)$ a holomorphic function. Around every point where $\phi(z)$ is not zero, there exist coordinates $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$, called *natural coordinates*, in which the quadratic differential can be represented as $d\zeta^2$ (see e.g. [GL00]). There are two measured foliations naturally assigned to ϕ. The trajectories $d\eta \equiv 0$ and $d\xi \equiv 0$ define the horizontal and vertical foliations of ϕ, respectively. Integrating $|d\eta|$ and $|d\xi|$ along arcs determine horizontal and vertical measured foliations ν^+ and ν^-, respectively. Moreover, (X, ϕ) is defined uniquely by X and its vertical measured foliation, by a theorem of Hubbard and Masur [HM79].

A Teichmüller geodesic can be described as follows. Given a a quadratic differential (X_0, ϕ_0) on X_0, let $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ be a natural coordinate for (X_0, ϕ_0). Then we can obtain a 1-parameter family (X_t, ϕ_t) of quadratic differentials defined locally by $d\zeta_t^2$ where $\zeta_t = e^t\xi + ie^{-t}\eta$. The map $\mathcal{G} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{T}(S)$ which sends t to X_t is a Teichmüller geodesic. We will often write (X_t, ϕ_t) to refer to the geodesic. We will also denote by $\mathcal{R}$ the Teichmüller ray which is the image of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Notions of length of a curve. By a *curve* we mean the free homotopy class of an essential simple closed curve. There are various notions of length associated to a curve α on a surface with a quadratic differential (X, ϕ).

We can equip X with the hyperbolic metric in the conformal class of X given by the uniformization. Then the *hyperbolic length* of α, denoted by $\mathrm{Hyp}_X(\alpha)$, is the length of the geodesic representative of α on X.

The *extremal length* of α is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X(\alpha) = \sup_{\rho \in [X]} \frac{\ell_\rho(\alpha)^2}{\mathrm{area}_\rho(X)} \tag{2.3}$$

where ρ is any metric in the conformal class of X. The reciprocal of the extremal length is equal to the maximum modulus of any annulus with core curve α [GL00].

Maskit [Mas85] showed the following relation between hyperbolic and extremal lengths:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \leq \frac{\mathrm{Ext}_X(\gamma)}{\mathrm{Hyp}_X(\gamma)} \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{\mathrm{Hyp}_X(\gamma)/2}.$$

When either $\mathrm{Hyp}_X(\gamma)$ or $\mathrm{Ext}_X(\gamma)$ is small, the above inequality implies that the two lengths are comparable,

$$\mathrm{Hyp}_X(\gamma) \overset{*}{\asymp} \mathrm{Ext}_X(\gamma). \tag{2.4}$$

As quadratic differential (X, ϕ) defines a singular flat metric $|\phi(z)||dz|^2$ on X. The *flat length* of α, denoted by $\ell_\phi(\alpha)$, is the length of a geodesic representative of α in this metric.

Finally recall the notion of intersection number of a curve α and a measured foliation ν,

$$\mathrm{i}(\alpha, \nu) = \int_\alpha \nu$$

which generalizes the usual geometric intersection number of two curves.

Given a quadratic differential ϕ with corresponding horizontal and vertical measured foliations $\nu^\pm$, the horizontal length of the curve α is $h_\phi(\alpha) = \mathrm{i}(\alpha, \nu^+)$ and its vertical length is $v_\phi(\alpha) = \mathrm{i}(\alpha, \nu^-)$.

Note that along a Teichmüller geodesic (X_t, ϕ_t) we have

$$h_{\phi_t}(\alpha) = e^t h_\phi(\alpha) \text{ and } v_{\phi_t}(\alpha) = e^{-t} v_\phi(\alpha).$$

When the Teichmüller geodesic is fixed, to simplify our presentation we will often use the notation $\mathrm{Hyp}_t(\alpha)$, $\mathrm{Ext}_t(\alpha)$, $\ell_t(\alpha)$, $h_t(\alpha)$ and $v_t(\alpha)$ instead of writing $\mathrm{Hyp}_{X_t}(\alpha)$, $\mathrm{Ext}_{X_t}(\alpha)$, $\ell_{\phi_t}(\alpha)$, $h_{\phi_t}(\alpha)$ and $v_{\phi_t}(\alpha)$ respectively.

Balanced time. The balanced time of a curve α along a Teichmüller geodesic (X_t, ϕ_t) is the time when the horizontal and vertical lengths of α are equal:

$$h_{\phi_t}(\alpha) = v_{\phi_t}(\alpha).$$

If the geodesic representative of α in the flat metric $|\phi||dz|^2$ is neither vertical i.e. $h_\phi(\alpha) \neq 0$ nor horizontal i.e. $v_\phi(\alpha) \neq 0$, then there is a unique balanced time for the curve α along the geodesic which we denote by t_α. The flat length of α is smallest at its balanced time. The extremal and hyperbolic lengths of α realize their minima in a uniformly bounded distance from the time t_α. See [MM99][Raf14] for more detail.

Twist parameter. Let X be a point in $\mathcal{T}(S)$. For a curve α on X let Y_α be the annular cover of X associated to α i.e. the annular cover that the curve α lifts to its core curve. Equip Y_α with the lift of the metric of X and let $\bar{Y}_\alpha$ be the compactification of Y_α in the usual way. Let τ be an arc orthogonal to the core curve of $\bar{Y}_\alpha$ which connects the two boundaries of $\bar{Y}_\alpha$. Now the *twist parameter* of a curve γ about the curve α is defined by

$$\operatorname{twist}_\alpha(\gamma, X) := \mathrm{i}(\tilde{\gamma}, \tau) \tag{2.5}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is any lift of γ that intersects the core of $\bar{Y}_\alpha$.

For a Teichmüller geodesic (X_t, ϕ_t) Rafi [Raf07, Theorem 1.3] gives the following estimate for the twist parameter of a curve γ about α at time t

$$\operatorname{twist}_\alpha(\gamma, X_t) = \begin{cases} 0 \pm O(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Hyp}_{X_t}(\alpha)}) & \text{if } t \leq t_\alpha \\ \mathrm{i}_\alpha(\nu^-, \nu^+) \pm O(\frac{1}{\operatorname{Hyp}_{X_t} s(\alpha)}) & \text{if } t > t_\alpha \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathrm{i}_\alpha(\nu^-, \nu^+)$ is the intersection number of the vertical and horizontal foliations of the lift of ϕ to Y_α. This is the maximum number that a leaf of the lift of ν^- and a leaf of the lift of ν^+ to Y_α intersect. Note that up to an additive error $\mathrm{i}_\alpha(\nu^-, \nu^+)$ is the maximum of the number of times that a leaf of ν^- and a leaf of ν^+ in the maximal flat cylinder with core curve α in the flat metric $|\phi||dz|^2$ intersect each other.

In what follows we recall estimates for the extremal and hyperbolic lengths of a curve at a point in the Teichmüller space which we will use later in the paper.

An estimate for the extremal length of a curve. Using the flat structure of (X, ϕ), one can estimate the extremal length of a curve α on X. In general α does not have a unique geodesic representative with respect to the flat metric of ϕ. However, the set of geodesic representatives foliate a (possibly degenerate) flat cylinder F_α in (X, ϕ). Let f_α be the distance between the boundaries of F_α. Then $\operatorname{Mod}_X(F_\alpha) = \frac{f_\alpha}{\ell_\phi(\alpha)}$ where $\operatorname{Mod}(\cdot)$ is the modulus of the annulus. For either boundary component of F_α, we consider the largest one-sided regular neighborhood of F_α that is an embedded annulus. We denote these annuli by E_α and G_α respectively and refer to them as expanding annuli associated to α. Denote the distance between boundaries of E_α and G_α (i.e., the radius of the associated regular neighborhood) by e_α and g_α respectively. When $e_\alpha > \ell_\phi(\alpha)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Mod}_X(E_\alpha) \stackrel{*}{\asymp} \log \frac{e_\alpha}{\ell_\phi(\alpha)}.$$

The same holds for g_α and G_α. We can then estimate the extremal length of α as follows (see [Min92, Raf14]).

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{Ext}_X(\alpha)} \stackrel{*}{\asymp} \operatorname{Mod}_X(E_\alpha) + \operatorname{Mod}_X(F_\alpha) + \operatorname{Mod}_X(G_\alpha). \tag{2.7}$$

An estimate for the hyperbolic length of a curve. Given $L > 0$, let P be a pants decomposition of X, i.e. a maximal collection of pairwise disjoint closed curves, with the property that the hyperbolic length of all curves in P are at most L.

For a curve α on X let the *width of* α, $\mathrm{width}_X(\alpha)$, be the width of the collar around α from the Collar theorem [Bus92]. We have the following estimate for the width

$$\mathrm{width}_X(\alpha) \stackrel{+}{\asymp} -2\log(\mathrm{Hyp}_X(\alpha)). \tag{2.8}$$

Now define the contribution to the length of a curve γ from a curve $\alpha \in P$ by

$$\mathrm{Hyp}_X(\gamma,\alpha) = \mathrm{i}(\gamma,\alpha)\big(\,\mathrm{width}_X(\alpha) + \mathrm{twist}_X(\gamma,\alpha)\,\mathrm{Hyp}_X(\alpha)\big). \tag{2.9}$$

Then we have the following estimate for the hyperbolic length of a curve γ in terms of the contributions from the curves in P,

$$\big|\,\mathrm{Hyp}(\gamma) - \sum_{\alpha\in P}\mathrm{Hyp}(\gamma,\alpha)\big| = O\big(\sum_{\alpha\in P}\mathrm{i}(\gamma,\alpha)\big). \tag{2.10}$$

where the constant of the O notation depends only on L. See [CRS08, Lemma 3.7].

Growth of hyperbolic length along a Teichmüller geodesic. It follows from Wolpert's estimate for the change of length [Wol79, Lemma 3.1] and the description of Teichmüller geodesics that the hyperbolic length of a curve varies at most exponentially along a Teichmüller geodesic. More precisely, given times $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \geq s$ we have

$$e^{-2|t-s|}\,\mathrm{Hyp}_s(\gamma) \leq \mathrm{Hyp}_t(\gamma) \leq e^{2|t-s|}\,\mathrm{Hyp}_s(\gamma) \tag{2.11}$$

The above inequality and (2.8) in particular show that the width of the collar of the curve γ grows at most linearly.

Thurston boundary. The main purpose of this paper is construction of a Teichmüller geodesic ray with two-dimensional limit set in the Thurston boundary. The Thurston boundary of the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}(S)$ is the space of projective measured foliations on S, $\mathcal{PMF}(S)$. A sequence of points $X_n \in \mathcal{T}(S)$ converges to the projective class of a measured foliation $[\nu]$ if and only if for any two curves γ_1, γ_2 on S we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{Hyp}_{X_n}(\gamma_1)}{\mathrm{Hyp}_{X_n}(\gamma_2)} = \frac{\mathrm{i}(\gamma_1,\nu)}{\mathrm{i}(\gamma_2,\nu)}.$$

The topology defined by this notion of convergence turns $\mathcal{T}(S) \cup \mathcal{PMF}(S)$ into a closed ball where $\mathcal{PMF}(S)$ is the boundary sphere. For more detail see [FLP79].

3. Teichmüller ray and its limit set

In this section we prove our main result. First, in §3.1, via continued fraction expansions, we define a measured foliation on X_0 and hence fix a Teichmüller ray based at X_0. Then, in §3.2, we find the shortest pants decomposition at various times along the geodesic ray, to then be able to estimate hyperbolic length of curves using (2.10). In §3.3 we use this information to determine the limit set of the Teichmüller ray and prove Theorem 1.2.

3.1. **A continued fraction expansion.** Let $\{[u_k^0, u_k^1, u_k^2]\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a dense sequence in $P\mathbb{R}^3_+$ where $u_k^0, u_k^1, u_k^2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Given this sequence, we will choose the numbers θ^i by describing their continued fraction expansion coefficients.

Let $\{a_j^0\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}, \{a_j^1\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{a_j^2\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be three sequences of positive integers defined inductively as follows. Set $a_1^0 = a_1^1 = a_1^2 = 1$. Now, for $k \geq 1$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, assume $a_1^i, \ldots, a_{k-1}^i$ are defined and, for $n \leq 2k$, let

$$\frac{p_n^i}{q_n^i} = \cfrac{1}{a_1^i + \cfrac{1}{\cdots + \cfrac{1}{a_n^i}}}.$$

Choose $a_{2k}^i, a_{2k+1}^i \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

(i) $a_{2k}^i > k \cdot \max\left\{a_{2k-1}^i, u_k^0, u_k^1, u_k^2\right\}$,
(ii) $[a_{2k}^0, a_{2k}^1, a_{2k}^2] = [u_k^0, u_k^1, u_k^2]$ as elements in $P\mathbb{R}^3_+$.
(iii) $a_{2k+1}^i > \exp(k a_{2k}^i)$ and
(iv) $a_{2k+1}^0 q_{2k}^0 = a_{2k+1}^1 q_{2k}^1 = a_{2k+1}^2 q_{2k}^2$.

Define $\theta^i = [0, a_1^i, a_2^i, \ldots] \in (0,1)$. That is

$$\theta^i = \cfrac{1}{a_1^i + \cfrac{1}{a_2^i + \cfrac{1}{a_3^i + \cdots}}}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\theta^i, i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ be as above. Then θ^i are irrational and, for every k , we have*

$$q_{2k+1}^0 = q_{2k+1}^1 = q_{2k+1}^2, \tag{3.1}$$

$$[q_{2k}^0, q_{2k}^1, q_{2k}^2] \sim [u_k^0, u_k^1, u_k^2], \tag{3.2}$$

$$\frac{\log a_{2k+1}^i}{\max\{a_{2k}^i, u_k^0, u_k^1, u_k^2\}} \to \infty \text{ as } k \to \infty, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\log a_{2k+1}^0 \sim \log a_{2k+1}^1 \sim \log a_{2k+1}^2, \tag{3.4}$$

$$q_n^i \overset{*}{\asymp} \prod_{j=1}^{n} a_j^i. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. The irrationality of θ^i follows from the fact that the coefficients a_n^i are non-zero.

We prove (3.1) by induction on k. By construction, we have $a_1^0 = a_1^1 = a_1^2$, and therefore $q_1^0 = q_1^1 = q_1^2$ by (2.1). Now assume that (3.1) holds for all k' less than or equal to some $k > 1$. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ we have that $q_{2k}^i = a_{2k}^i q_{2k-1}^i + q_{2k-2}^i$ by (2.1). Moreover, by (iv), for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, we have $a_{2k+1}^i q_{2k}^i = a_{2k+1}^j q_{2k}^j$. From this equality and the assumption of induction we may conclude that (3.1) holds for k as well.

To see (3.2), note that $a_{2k}^0 = \frac{q_{2k}^0 - q_{2k-2}^0}{q_{2k-1}^0}$ and $a_{2k}^1 = \frac{q_{2k}^1 - q_{2k-2}^1}{q_{2k-1}^1}$ by (2.1). Dividing these two terms and taking into account that $q_{2k-1}^0 = q_{2k-1}^1$ by (3.1) we get

$$\frac{a_{2k}^1}{a_{2k}^0} = \frac{q_{2k}^1 - q_{2k-2}^1}{q_{2k}^0 - q_{2k-2}^0} = \frac{q_{2k}^1}{q_{2k}^0} \frac{1 - q_{2k-2}^1/q_{2k}^1}{1 - q_{2k-2}^0/q_{2k}^0}$$

The growth of the sequence $\{q_k^i\}_k$ from (i) and (iii) implies that q_{2k-2}^1/q_{2k}^1 and q_{2k-2}^0/q_{2k}^0 go to 0 as $k \to \infty$. Therefore, $q_{2k}^0/q_{2k}^1 \sim a_{2k}^0/a_{2k}^1$. But, by (ii), $a_{2k}^0/a_{2k}^1 = u_k^0/u_k^1$. Hence

$$q_{2k}^0/q_{2k}^1 \sim u_k^0/u_k^1.$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$q_{2k}^1/q_{2k}^2 \sim u_k^1/u_k^2.$$

This finishes the proof of Equation (3.2).

Let us now prove (3.5). Without loss of generality suppose that $i = 0$ and $j = 1$. By (iv) we have

$$\log a_{2k+1}^0 / \log a_{2k+1}^1 = 1 + \frac{\log(q_{2k}^1/q_{2k}^0)}{\log a_{2k+1}^1}$$

Also, $\log(q_{2k}^1/q_{2k}^0) \sim \log(u_k^1/u_k^0)$ by (3.2). Now by (3.3) the right-hand side goes to 1. This finishes the proof of (3.4).

We are left to prove Equation (3.5). It follows from Equation (2.1) that

$$q_n^i \geq \prod_{j=1}^n a_j^i.$$

For the upper bound, we write

$$\frac{q_n^i}{\prod_{j=1}^n a_j^i} = \frac{a_n^i q_{n-1}^i + q_{n-2}^i}{\prod_{j=1}^n a_j^i} \leq \frac{q_{n-1}^i}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j^i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a_n^i}\right),$$

and so by induction

$$\frac{q_n^i}{\prod_{j=1}^n a_j^i} \leq \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a_n^i}\right).$$

The product on the right-hand side is finite and is uniformly bounded for any sequence of coefficients $\{a_n^i\}$ that satisfies conditions (i) and (iii). □

For the rest of the paper let ν be the measured foliation on X_0 which, restricted to T^i is the measured foliation ν^i with slope θ^i. Let (X_0, ϕ_0) be the quadratic differential with vertical foliation ν and $\mathcal{R}$ the corresponding Teichmüller geodesic ray.

3.2. **Time and length estimates.** Denote by α_n^i the simple curve on T^i with slope $\frac{p_n^i}{q_n^i}$. Then we have the following.

Lemma 3.2. *For $i = 0, 1, 2$ the curves α_n^i converge to ν^i in $\mathcal{PMF}(X_0)$. More precisely, for any simple closed curve γ on X_0,*

$$\frac{1}{q_n^i} \, \mathrm{i}(\gamma, \alpha_n^i) \to \mathrm{i}(\gamma, \nu^i) \sqrt{1 + (\theta^i)^2}. \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Suppose first that γ is a curve on one of the tori, say T^i, and suppose it has slope $\frac{p}{q}$. Then we have $\mathrm{i}(\gamma, \alpha_n^i) = |pq_n^i - qp_n^i|$. On the other hand, the intersection of γ with ν^i is the dot product of the vector (p, q) and the vector of unit length perpendicular to the foliation ν^i, namely $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(\theta^i)^2}}(\theta^i, -1)$. Hence,

$$\mathrm{i}(\gamma, \nu^i) = \frac{|p - q\theta^i|}{\sqrt{1 + (\theta^i)^2}}.$$

Since $\frac{p_n^i}{q_n^i} \to \theta^i$, we have

$$\frac{1}{q_n^i}\,\mathrm{i}(\gamma,\alpha_n^i) = \left|p - q\frac{p_n^i}{q_n^i}\right| \to |p - q\theta^i| = \mathrm{i}(\gamma,\nu^i)\sqrt{1+(\theta^i)^2},$$

which is Equation (3.6) for $\gamma \subset T^i$. Now Equation (3.6) for a curve γ with irrational slope follows from continuity of intersection numbers and the fact that the slope cab be approximated by rational slopes.

In particular, we have shown that

$$\frac{1}{q_n^i\sqrt{1+(\theta^i)^2}}\alpha_n^i \to \nu^i, \qquad \text{in } \mathcal{MF}(T^i).$$

Since $\mathcal{MF}(T^i)$ embeds continuously into $\mathcal{MF}(X_0)$, the sequence converges in $\mathcal{MF}(X_0)$ as well. This finishes the proof. □

From (3.2) in Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 we have

Corollary 3.3. *For any curve γ on X_0, we have the following equivalence:*

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_3} \mathrm{i}(\gamma,\alpha_n^i) \sim \frac{q_n^0}{u_n^0}\left(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_3} u_n^i\sqrt{1+(\theta^i)^2}\,\mathrm{i}(\gamma,\nu^i)\right).$$

To estimate hyperbolic length of some fixed curve at a certain time along $\mathcal{R}$ using (2.10) we need information about the short curves at that time. The next Lemma says that the curves α_n^i become short along the ray $\mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 3.4. *For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the curve α_n^i is balanced at time*

$$t_n^i \overset{+}{\asymp} \frac{1}{2}\log q_n^i q_{n+1}^i \overset{+}{\asymp} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\log a_j^i + \frac{1}{2}\log a_{n+1}^i. \tag{3.7}$$

The flat length of α_n^i is minimal at t_n^i and is given by

$$\ell_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i) \overset{*}{\asymp} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_{n+1}^i}}. \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover, the extremal and hyperbolic lengths of α_n^i at t_n^i are comparable and

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i) \overset{*}{\asymp} \mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i) \overset{*}{\asymp} \frac{1}{a_{n+1}^i}. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. The time when α_n^i is balanced can be computed explicitly. In fact, $h_t(\alpha_n^i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(\theta^i)^2}}e^t(q_n^i + \theta^i p_n^i)$, $v_t(\alpha_n^i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(\theta^i)^2}}e^{-t}(q_n^i - \theta^i p_n^i)$ and

$$\ell_t(\alpha_n^i)^2 = \frac{1}{(1+(\theta^i)^2)}\Big(e^{-2t}(q_n^i+\theta^i p_n^i)^2 + e^{2t}(p_n^i - \theta^i p_n^i)^2\Big).$$

Now a straightforward calculation shows that $\ell_t(\alpha_n^i)^2$ reaches its minimum at the time

$$t_n^i = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{p_n^i\theta^i + q_n^i}{|q_n^i\theta_n^i - p_n^i|} \tag{3.10}$$

(for more detail see [Len08, Lemma 1]).

Remark 3.5. In [Len08] Lenzhen uses the parametrization $\zeta_t = e^{t/2}\xi + ie^{-t/2}\eta$ for the Teichmüller geodesic, where $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ is a natural coordinate at time 0. But in this paper we use the parametrization $\zeta_t = e^t\xi + ie^{-t}\eta$ of the geodesic, which justifies the extra $\frac{1}{2}$ in the above formula.

By Equation (2.2) we have

$$q^i_{n+1}(q^i_n\theta^i + p^i_n) \leq \frac{p^i_n\theta^i + q^i_n}{|q^i_n\theta^i_n - p^i_n|} \leq (q^i_n + q^i_{n+1})(q^i_n\theta^i + p^i_n) \tag{3.11}$$

Now note that, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{p^i_n}{q^i_n} = \theta^i$ and hence $q^i_n \overset{*}{\asymp} p^i_n$ for all sufficiently large n. Moreover, $\theta^i \in (0,1)$, in fact since $a^i_1 = 1$, we have that $\theta^i > \frac{1}{2}$, so the multiplicative error in $q^i_n \overset{*}{\asymp} p^i_n$ is independent of θ^i. Then by (3.11) we have

$$\frac{p^i_n\theta^i + q^i_n}{|q^i_n\theta^i_n - p^i_n|} \overset{*}{\asymp} q^i_n q^i_{n+1}. \tag{3.12}$$

The equations (3.12) and (3.10) give us

$$t^i_n \overset{+}{\asymp} \frac{1}{2}\log q^i_n q^i_{n+1}.$$

The rest of Equation (3.7) now follows from Equation (3.5) of Lemma 3.1.

Moreover, since $t^i_n \to \infty$, by [Len08, Lemma 3] which essentially uses the fact that the area of the maximal flat cylinder with core curve α^i_n tends to 1, we have that $\ell^2_{t^i_n}(\alpha^i_n) \sim \mathrm{Ext}_{t^i_n}(\alpha^i_n)$. Furthermore, the fact that by definition the sequence a^i_n goes to infinity and (2.2) imply that

$$\ell^2_{t^i_n}(\alpha^i_n) \sim 2q^i_n/q^i_{n+1} \sim 2/a^i_{n+1},$$

(for more detail see the proof of [Len08, Corollary 1]). This gives us (3.8).

The last estimate of the lemma then follows from the comparison of extremal and hyperbolic lengths (2.4). □

There are three other curves, namely $\beta^i = \partial T^i$, that become very short along $\mathcal{R}$. In fact, the length of β^i goes to 0. We have the following estimate for the length.

Lemma 3.6. *For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ we have*

$$\mathrm{Hyp}_{t^i_n}(\beta^i) \overset{*}{\asymp} \frac{1}{\log q^i_n}.$$

Proof. Since the curve β^i is homotopic to the union of two critical trajectories of the quadratic differential ϕ connecting two critical points of ϕ (see Figure 1), the flat length of β^i is

$$\ell_{t^i_n}(\beta^i) = 2s_0 e^{-t^i_n}.$$

where s_0 is the size of the slit we cut on the tori T^i, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, to produce the initial genus three flat surface. Moreover, the shortest curve on T^i at t^i_n is α^i_n, which is balanced and whose flat length satisfies

$$\ell_{t^i_n}(\alpha^i_n) \overset{*}{\asymp} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^i_{n+1}}}$$



FIGURE 2. Annuli in T^i about β^i and α_n^i. Expanding annulus with core curve β^i, on the left, and flat annulus about α_n^i, on the right, at the time when α_n^i is balanced.

by Lemma 3.4. Now by the two estimates above

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{\ell_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i)}{\ell_{t_n^i}(\beta^i)} &\overset{*}{\asymp} t_n^i - \frac{1}{2}\log a_{n+1}^i \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log a_j^i \overset{+}{\asymp} \log q_n^i \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where the second equality holds by Lemma 3.4 and the third equality by (3.5) in Lemma 3.1.

Further, note that there is no flat annulus around β^i, and the distance between boundaries of the largest embedded neighborhood of β^i inside T^i is

$$\frac{\ell_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i) - \ell_{t_n^i}(\beta^i)}{2}$$

(see the right-hand side of Figure 2). Hence by Equation (2.7) we have

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Ext}_{t_n^i}(\beta^i)} \overset{*}{\asymp} \log\left(\frac{\ell_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i) - \ell_{t_n^i}(\beta^i)}{2\ell_{t_n^i}(\beta^i)}\right) = \log\left(\frac{\ell_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i)}{\ell_{t_n^i}(\beta^i)} - 1\right) - \log 2. \tag{3.14}$$

Also since $q_n^i \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, by (3.13) we have $\frac{\ell_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i)}{\ell_{t_n^i}(\beta^i)} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus from (3.14) we may deduce that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{Ext}_{t_n^i}(\beta^i)} \overset{*}{\asymp} \log \frac{\ell_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i)}{\ell_{t_n^i}(\beta^i)}.$$

Then appealing again to (3.13) we have that the extremal length of β^i at t_n^i satisfies

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{t_n^i}(\beta^i) \overset{*}{\asymp} \frac{1}{\log q_n^i}.$$

The lemma now follows from Maskit's comparison of hyperbolic and extremal lengths (2.4). □

3.3. **Limit set.** To prove that the limit set of the geodesic ray $\mathcal{R}$ is the 2–dimensional simplex of measures spanned by measured foliations ν^i, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, we examine the geometry of Riemann surface X_{t_n}. The curves β^i are always short and the curves α^i_{2n} get short roughly at the same time t_n. The hyperbolic length of any given curve γ can be computed as the sum of the contributions to the length of γ coming from crossing the short curves in X_{t_n}. We will see that the contribution from α^i_{2n} dominates the contribution from β^i. But the curves α^i_{2n} are chosen so that the length contributions coming from these curve, thought of as a projective triple, form a dense subset of $P\mathbb{R}^3$. This will let us conclude that the limit of the ray $\mathcal{R}$ is the whole simplex of measures.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. To prove that the limit set of $\mathcal{R}$ is the simplex spanned by ν^0, ν^1 and ν^2, by the definition of Thurston boundary, we need to show that there exists a sequence of times $t_n \to \infty$ such that, given any two curves γ_1 and γ_2, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\gamma_1)}{\mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\gamma_2)} \sim \frac{\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_3} w^i_n \,\mathrm{i}(\gamma_1,\nu^i)}{\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_3} w^i_n \,\mathrm{i}(\gamma_2,\nu^i)} \tag{3.15}$$

where $w^i_n = u^i_n\sqrt{1+(\theta^i)^2}$. Recall that $(u^0_n, u^1_n, u^2_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is dense in $P\mathbb{R}^3_+$ and the map

$$[a,b,c] \to \left[a\sqrt{1+(\theta^0)^2}, b\sqrt{1+(\theta^1)^2}, c\sqrt{1+(\theta^2)^2}\right]$$

is a homeomorphism of $P\mathbb{R}^3_+$. Hence $(w^0_n, w^1_n, w^2_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is also dense in $P\mathbb{R}^3_+$.

As before denote the balanced time of α^i_n along $\mathcal{R}$ by t^i_n. Let t_n be any number in the interval $\left[\min_{i=0,1,2} t^i_{2n}, \max_{i=0,1,2} t^i_{2n}\right]$. For any $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ by Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.1 (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |t^i_{2n} - t_n| < \max_{i,j=0,1,2} |t^i_{2n} - t^j_{2n}| &\overset{+}{\asymp} \frac{1}{2}\max_{i,j=0,1,2} |\log q^i_{2n} - \log q^j_{2n}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\max_{i,j=0,1,2} |\log(q^i_{2n}/q^j_{2n})|, \end{aligned}$$

which by Lemma 3.1 (3.2) is asymptotic to

$$\frac{1}{2}\max_{i,j=0,1,2} |\log(u^i_n/u^j_n)|.$$

Thus for n large enough

$$2|t^i_{2n} - t_n| \overset{+}{\prec} \max_{j=0,1,2} \log(u^j_n).$$

Hence by Lemma 3.1 (3.3) we have that

$$e^{2|t_n - t^i_{2n}|} = o(\log a^i_{2n+1}). \tag{3.16}$$

By estimates from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.6 the (hyperbolic) short curves on X_{t_n} are the curves α^i_{2n} and β^i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$. Thus the collection of curves $\{\alpha^i_{2n}, \beta^i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_3}$



FIGURE 3. The interval when α^i_k is short. For $k = 2n$, the curves α^i_k start getting short at different times, but they grow back to length 1 at the same time.

forms a bounded length pants decomposition at time t_n. Then by (2.10) we have the following estimate for the hyperbolic length on X_{t_n} of a curve γ

$$\mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\gamma, \alpha^i_{2n}) + \sum_{i=0}^{2} \mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\gamma, \beta^i) + O\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2} \mathrm{i}(\gamma, \alpha^i_{2n}) + \mathrm{i}(\gamma, \beta^i)\right). \tag{3.17}$$

where the constant of O notation depends only on an upper bound for the hyperbolic length of α^i_{2n}, β^i at t^i_{2n}. We will now analyze the ingredients of this equation.

Intersection numbers. Note that, for any fixed curve γ, the intersection number with β^i is clearly a constant, i.e.

$$\mathrm{i}(\beta^i, \gamma) \overset{+}{\asymp} 0. \tag{3.18}$$

By Lemma 3.2 we have $\alpha^i_{2n}/q^i_{2n}\sqrt{1 + (\theta^i)^2} \to \nu^i$, hence, by continuity of intersection numbers [Bon88], we have

$$\mathrm{i}\left(\gamma, \frac{\alpha^i_{2n}}{q^i_{2n}\sqrt{1 + (\theta^i)^2}}\right) \to \mathrm{i}(\gamma, \nu^i).$$

Thus

$$\mathrm{i}(\gamma, \alpha^i_{2n}) \overset{*}{\asymp} q^i_{2n}. \tag{3.19}$$

To estimate the contribution to the length of γ from the curves β^i and α^i_{2n} we need the following estimate for the intersection number $\mathrm{i}_{\alpha^i_{2n}}(\nu^-, \nu^+)$ at the balanced time of α^i_{2n},

Lemma 3.7. *For any curve α^i_n, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ we have $\mathrm{i}_{\alpha^i_n}(\nu^-, \nu^+) \overset{*}{\asymp} a^i_{n+1}$.*

Proof. Recall that the balanced time of α_n^i along the Teichmüller geodesic is t_n^i. As we saw in the proof of Lemma 3.4 we have that

$$\ell^2_{t_n}(\alpha_n^i) \sim 2/a_{n+1}^i \text{ and } \mathrm{Ext}_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i) \sim \ell^2_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i).$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i) \sim 2/a_{n+1}^i.$$

Let $\mathrm{cyl}_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i)$ be the maximal flat cylinder with core curve α_n^i at time t_n^i foliated by closed geodesics. By [Min92, Theorem 4.5] $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{cyl}_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i))$ is comparable to the modulus of the annulus in $X_{t_n^i}$ with the core curve α_n^i, where the additive error depends only on the Euler characteristic of the surface. Therefore, we have that

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i) \stackrel{*}{\asymp} \frac{1}{\mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{cyl}_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i))}.$$

Furthermore, by [CRS08, Proposition 5.8], we have $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{cyl}_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i)) \stackrel{*}{\asymp} \frac{\mathrm{i}_{\alpha_n^i}(\nu^-,\nu^+)}{\cosh^2(t-t_n^i)}$. So at the balanced time t_n^i,

$$\mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{cyl}_{t_n^i}(\alpha_n^i)) \stackrel{*}{\asymp} \mathrm{i}_{\alpha_n^i}(\nu^-,\nu^+).$$

Now the lemma follows from the above three estimates. □

Contribution to the length of γ from the curves α_{2n}^i. First, the hyperbolic length of α_{2n}^i by inequality (2.11) and the inequality (3.9) from Lemma 3.4 satisfies

$$\frac{1}{a_{2n+1}^i} e^{-2|t_n - t_{2n}^i|} \stackrel{*}{\prec} \mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\alpha_{2n}^i) \stackrel{*}{\prec} \frac{1}{a_{2n+1}^i} e^{2|t_n - t_{2n}^i|},$$

which using the fact that $\mathrm{width}_{t_{2n}^i}(\alpha_{2n}^i) \stackrel{+}{\asymp} -2\log\left(\mathrm{Hyp}_{t_{2n}^i}(\alpha_{2n}^i)\right)$ implies the following estimate

$$\mathrm{width}_{t_n}(\alpha_{2n}^i) \stackrel{+}{\asymp} 2\log a_{2n+1}^i \pm O(2|t_n - t_{2n}^i|),$$

and hence by Equation (3.16) and Lemma 3.1 (3.3) the widths of the collars about the curves α_{2n}^i, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$, are equivalent and

$$\mathrm{width}_{t_n}(\alpha_{2n}^i) \sim 2\log a_{2n+1}^i. \tag{3.20}$$

By Lemma 3.7 and the formula (2.6) for twist parameters along Teichmüller geodesics we have

$$\mathrm{twist}_{\alpha_{2n}^i}(\gamma, X_{t_n}) \stackrel{*}{\prec} a_{2n+1}^i,$$

using Equation (3.16) then we have

$$\mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\alpha_{2n}^i)\,\mathrm{twist}_{\alpha_{2n}^i}(\gamma, X_{t_n}) \stackrel{*}{\prec} e^{2|t_n - t_{2n}^i|} = o(\log a_{2n+1}^i). \tag{3.21}$$

Now by (3.20) and (3.21) the contribution of α_{2n}^i to the length of γ satisfies

$$\mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n^i}(\gamma, \alpha_{2n}^i) \sim 2\,\mathrm{i}(\gamma, \alpha_{2n}^i)\log a_{2n+1}^i. \tag{3.22}$$

Contribution to the length of γ from the curves β^i. From Lemma 3.6 and the inequality (2.11) we know that

$$\frac{1}{\log q^i_{2n}} e^{-2|t_n - t^i_{2n}|} \overset{*}{\prec} \mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\beta^i) \overset{*}{\prec} \frac{1}{\log q^i_{2n}} e^{2|t_n - t^i_{2n}|}, \tag{3.23}$$

which by Equation (3.16) and the fact that $\mathrm{width}_{t_n}(\beta^i) \overset{+}{\asymp} -2\log(\mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\beta^i))$ implies that

$$\mathrm{width}_{t_n}(\beta^i) \overset{+}{\prec} \log\log q^i_{2n} + O(2|t_n - t^i_{2n}|) = \log\log q^i_{2n} + o(\log a^i_{2n+1}). \tag{3.24}$$

Moreover, by (3.5) in Lemma 3.1 we have $\log\log q^i_{2n} \overset{+}{\asymp} \log(\sum_{j=1}^{2n} \log a^i_j)$, which using (3.3) in the lemma implies that $\log\log q^i_{2n} = o(\log a^i_{2n+1})$. Hence, from (3.24) we may conclude that

$$\mathrm{width}_{t_n}(\beta^i) \overset{+}{\prec} o(\log a^i_{2n+1}). \tag{3.25}$$

Moreover, since β^i is a union of critical trajectories, it does not have a flat cylinder neighborhood. Therefore, $\mathrm{i}_{\beta^i}(\nu^-, \nu^+) \overset{+}{\asymp} 0$. Then by (2.6) we have

$$\mathrm{twist}_{\beta^i}(\gamma, X_{t_n}) \overset{+}{\asymp} 0. \tag{3.26}$$

Moreover, by Equation (3.16) and Equation (3.23) we have

$$\mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\beta^i) = o(\log a^i_{2n+1}). \tag{3.27}$$

Hence by equations (3.18), (3.25), (3.26) and (3.27), the contribution to the length of γ from the curve β^i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ at time t_n satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\gamma, \beta^i) &= \mathrm{i}(\gamma, \beta^i)\left(\mathrm{width}_{t_n}(\beta^i) + \mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\beta^i)\,\mathrm{twist}_{\beta^i}(\gamma, X_{t_n})\right) \\ &= o\big(\mathrm{i}(\gamma, \beta^i)\log a^i_{2n+1}\big) = o(\log a^i_{2n+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Finally we are ready to conclude the proof of the theorem. First, we use Equation (3.17) and equations (3.18), (3.19) (3.22) and (3.28) to the curves γ_1 and γ_2 to get

$$\frac{\mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\gamma_1)}{\mathrm{Hyp}_{t_n}(\gamma_2)} \sim \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{2} \mathrm{i}(\gamma_1, \alpha^i_{2n}) \log a^i_{2n+1}}{\sum_{i=0}^{2} \mathrm{i}(\gamma_2, \alpha^i_{2n}) \log a^i_{2n+1}} \sim \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{2} \mathrm{i}(\gamma_1, \alpha^i_{2n})}{\sum_{i=0}^{2} \mathrm{i}(\gamma_2, \alpha^i_{2n})}, \tag{3.29}$$

where the second comparison holds by Equation (3.4). Then Corollary 3.3 applied to Equation (3.29) gives us the desired Equation (3.15) and finishes the proof of the theorem. □

References

[BLMR16] J. Brock, C. Leininger, B. Modami, and K. Rafi. Limit sets of Teichmüller geodesics with minimal nonuniquely ergodic vertical foliation, II. preprint, arXiv:1601.03368, 2016.

[Bon88] F. Bonahon. The geometry of Teichmüller space via geodesic currents. *Invent. Math.*, 92(1):139–162, 1988.

[Bus92] P. Buser. *Geometry and spectra of compact Riemann surfaces*, volume 106 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1992.

[CMW14] J. Chaika, H. Masur, and M. Wolf. Limits in $\mathcal{PMF}$ of Teichmüller geodesics. preprint, arXiv:1406.0564, 2014.

[CRS08] Y.-E. Choi, K. Rafi, and C. Series. Lines of minima and Teichmüller geodesics. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 18(3):698–754, 2008.

[FLP79] A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach, and V. Poénaru. *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*, volume 66-67 of *Astérisque*. Société Mathématique de France, 1979.

[GL00] F. P. Gardiner and N. Lakic. *Quasiconformal Teichmüller theory*, volume 76 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.

[HM79] J. Hubbard and H.A. Masur. Quadratic differentials and foliations. *Acta Math.*, 142(3-4):221–274, 1979.

[Ker80] S.P. Kerckhoff. The asymptotic geometry of Teichmüller space. *Topology*, 19(1):23–41, 1980.

[Khi64] A. Ya. Khinchin. *Continued fractions*. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1964.

[Len08] A. Lenzhen. Teichmüller geodesics that do not have a limit in $\mathcal{PMF}$. *Geom. Topol.*, 12(1):177–197, 2008.

[LLR13] C. Leininger, A. Lenzhen, and K. Rafi. Limit sets of teichmüller geodesics with minimal non-uniquely ergodic vertical foliation. preprint, `arXiv:1312.2305`, 2013.

[Mas82] H.A. Masur. Two boundaries of Teichmüller space. *Duke Math. J.*, 49(1):183–190, 1982.

[Mas85] B. Maskit. Comparison of hyperbolic and extremal lengths. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.*, 10:381–386, 1985.

[Min92] Y.N. Minsky. Harmonic maps, length, and energy in Teichmüller space. *J. Differential Geom.*, 35(1):151–217, 1992.

[MM99] H.A. Masur and Y.N. Minsky. Geometry of the complex of curves. I. Hyperbolicity. *Invent. Math.*, 138(1):103–149, 1999.

[Raf07] K. Rafi. A combinatorial model for the Teichmüller metric. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(3):936–959, 2007.

[Raf14] Kasra Rafi. Hyperbolicity in Teichmüller space. *Geom. Topol.*, 18(5):3025–3053, 2014.

[Wol79] S. A. Wolpert. The length spectra as moduli for compact Riemann surfaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 109(2):323–351, 1979.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université de Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France
E-mail address: `anna.lenzhen@univ-rennes1.fr`

Department of Mathematics, Yale University, 10 Hillhouse Ave, New Haven, CT
E-mail address: `babak.modami@yale.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON
E-mail address: `rafi@math.toronto.edu`